	Litchfield Planning Board	September 7, 2010
1		-
2		
3	LITCHFIELD PLANNING BOARD	
4	September 7, 2010	
5		
6	Minutes approved 10/5/10	
7		
8	Members present:	
9	Edward Almeida, Chairman	
10	Leon Barry	
11	Frank Byron, Selectmen's Representative Carlos Fuertes	
12		
13 14	Barry Bean	
15	Members not present:	
16	Jayson Brennen, Clerk	
17	Joel Kapelson, Alternate	
18	John Miller, Alternate	
19	John Willer, Michael	
20	Also present:	
21	Joan McKibben, Administrative Assistant	
22	Steve Wagner, Nashua Regional Planning Commission, Senior Plann	er. Circuit Rider
23	2.0.0.0	,
24	AGENDA	
25		
26	1. IMPACT FEE - Discussion with Town Administrator Jason Ho	och
27		
28	Any Other Business	
29		
30	Minutes: 8/3/2010 and 8/17/2010	
31		
32	Correspondence	
33		
34	At 6:30 p.m. The Board met in Nonpublic Session per RSA 91-a:2, I,	(B) consultation
35	with legal counsel.	
36		
37	1. Impact Fee - Discussions with Town Administrator Jason Hocl	1
38		4 C TI D 1:
39	Town Administrator Jason Hoch met with the Board to discuss impact	
40	looking for help in figuring out Bruce Mayberry's study and its imple	
41 42	impact fee schedule. Mr. Mayberry's report focused mainly on the pofees. Mr. Byron pointed out a concern that the collection of school im	
43	still be justified. He further pointed out that there were police impact	
44	expired in 2006 and notice was made to those who paid those fees. The	
77	expired in 2000 and notice was made to mose who paid mose ices. The	ne cicinental y

September 7, 2010

school impact fees are due to expire in December unless they are utilized. The recreation impact fees are also due to expire next year if they are not used.

Mr. Barry asked if it is the Planning Board's responsibility to spend the money rather than returning the impact fees. Mr. Byron responded that it is up to the people responsible for the fees such as recreation, library, etc. All departments were notified of the impact fee amounts and the expiration dates of those fees. Mr. Byron stated that he was informed the School Board is planning on using the high school impact fees to pay off the bond. In regards to the elementary school impact fees, a new school has been rejected a few times by the voters, and if the school is planning to use the fees for kindergarten, the fees cannot be used for that purpose because kindergarten is mandated by the State.

As to the recreation impact fees, Mr. Byron told the Board that there is more than enough money in the recreation impact fees to create fields that the Town desperately needs. There is property on Talent Road owned by the Town that could be used for new fields and impact fees could be used for that purpose.

Mr. Hoch: And remember the fees that can be used for steps in advance of actually approving a project...you can use impact monies if you have a certain project. If you have additional recreation facilities in the plan, you can spend the fees as they come in for engineering, for design, for site prep, etc. You do not have to wait until you finally get the approval from Town Meeting for the big project. You can use those monies in advance in preparation for all that. For example, the impact fee system we had in Plaistow we were collecting for a public safety facility. The question never made it to the ballot to build a new public safety facility; however, we hired architects, we hired site engineers, we did some site prep on the site that we were going to do it on because we had some culverts to move around and all of those are eligible expenses with the money that didn't have to wait till Town Meeting to approve the whole package for the end use. I think that is important particularly as you are looking now over the next couple of years of smaller amounts of money starting to expire to really kind of get people thinking and start getting the plan together. You do not have to go to Town Meeting in March and ask for everything but use this to best better position yourself to get that vote a couple years out.

Talk ensued as to recreation impact fees and use of Talent Road property. Mr. Byron said that the only thing needed is a vote by the Board of Selectmen to approve the use of the impact fees to a particular project. To this, Mr. Kevin Lynch, present, said the land is controlled by the Board of Selectmen and they would have to authorize the use of the land. Mr. Byron is certain that the Selectmen would approve the use of the land. Mr. Lynch further stated that the Recreation Commission is starting to get on board as to the impact fee usage, etc. Mr. Byron mentioned that the Recreation Commission is considering putting some of the fields on school property, which could be a problem as to control of the fields - the town or school. It gets complicated.

Mr. Almeida: So, the next step as far as adopting the Mayberry report, obviously, we

September 7, 2010

need to make a motion. What do we need to do from a clerical perspective?

Mr. Hoch: My recollection is that the Mayberry report is almost done but not quite and I need to figure out what the almost done part is. Steve you may remember there has been correspondence back and forth on this.

Mr. Wagner: I am not really clear what the deficiency is in the Mayberry safety line. I guess there was somewhat of a wish list that the Chief had for fire equipment. I think when I was making a recommendation last meeting was to take and consider the approach and look at just replacement equipment, not new equipment, and use the fee that is associated with that. And only use the residential portion of the fee because everything else we do for nonresidential district implies a road impact fee. So, just for this year, moving forward, the only thing that would change would be to replace the fire and police, stick with road impact fees for all nonresidential development and apply the escalation factor to everything else. I was just concerned with returning monies, the police whether that, in fact, what is the point of the new fee when we are working on an addition here.

Mr. Byron: It has been done for a year. The only thing we would be doing here is potentially adding on but it does not appear in the CIP right now, I do not believe, adding on potentially an addition for more office space or a safety complex.

Mr. Wagner: I guess that is why I am confused, if the police haven't been able to use their fees to the point that we are returning it, would we consider...

Mr. Byron: The problem was the following: that some of the fees that were collected for the police were collected starting in 2000 and those fees expired in 2006. The Board of Selectmen did not take any action until 2009 to make those additional changes in there. Therefore, we spent police impact fees; we spent some impact fees that were technically already expired unknowingly. So, what we had to do is go in and fix that. So, the way we fixed it is we took money out of the budget to make up the difference and we used any of the unexpired fees to pay off some of that. Then the money we had to take out of our budget, we noticed the payees, the people who paid the fees were sent the notices. I think there were 15 notices and we probably returned somewhere in the neighborhood of those 15 letters, 8 or 9...

Mr. Hoch: About a dozen out of the fifteen.

Mr. Wagner: So, it would be separate, or it would be part of the new management plan with the CIP, to track these six year rolling fees...

Mr. Hoch: That part is done. The trick now is to roll those into a spreadsheet so we know what is there. That is kind of the missing piece now is nobody really has a great road map of what is going to happen. We may, or may not, propose the next couple of years the big

September 7, 2010

ticket items that the Mayberry Plan anticipates but we can certainly be taking steps in that direction for which we would want to use the money.

Mr. Wagner: I guess as far as making recommendations to the current fee schedule to the Selectmen, what do you guys feel about the proposal?

Mr. Barry: Well, I thought at one time we were discussing that we thought the percentages for some fees seemed to be very, very low and we were discussing can't we tweak those a little.

Mr. Wagner: I think that is part of what Bruce Mayberry, or NRPC, or whoever has to come in to determine what the need is and the need above current demand because I do not think you can just raise the amount of fees say for example let's say...

Mr. Barry: Just take the Town's portion of it - is it so minute. Now, this is interesting where the Recreation Commission is moving up pretty good but it is on the verge of giving a lot of money back because they are not using their monies - is the percentage too high? Where we sit here, the building is not big enough, yet, it is the smallest amount of money in the CIP. How do we get that number to move up?

Mr. Wagner: My understanding is the 2000 Dave Gilmore report adjusts each one of those and, at that point in time, there were projects that basically drove what the fee would be in determining what was the deficiency, what was the future need for the things that were listed there and the fees were based on that. So, now for the future over the next year, we have to look at the new list of items, figure out the deficiencies, what future growth and develop a new schedule for those fees; or, in some cases, the transfer station could be a new fee. It just depends on the need.

Mr. Barry: But Mr. Gilmore was 10 years ago...and things have changed, the needs and the ones that Mayberry did only addressed two areas - police department and fire departments. The fire department is moving in leaps and bounds and starting to build a force in this Town. The Town is getting bigger and we have the same Fire Department. There are some deficiencies in there. I do not know how the numbers move. How did you move them in Plaistow?

Mr. Hoch: We had what our base needs were and actually we ended in a similar situation. We inherited an old plan where all the ratios were in place on an old plan and that is where we were in the process of trying to reallocate those similar to what you have here. Early on you had a school project and you had the lion's share that went to the school that school needs went down and public safety went up; so, ironically we got to a similar position had Mayberry get through about 90% of the report and then the Planning Board got fatigued and moved on to other things. That is what was driving us too. We had a very different situation we had a lot of growing commercial development so we were able to soak the retail development for a lot of it. We were not looking at a lot of residential development to pass the fees on to. There was at a point of which you build everything all

September 7, 2010

up and everyone is struggling and you are now the second generation of that. The economy is flat you are not seeing as much growth. You have done all your first wave of

big ticket items and trying to figure out how to keep the system going because nobody wants to walk away from free money but it is harder to justify. You are at a difficult point in a lot of things. Your growth has been relatively flat so pushing and saying a lot of your new service needs are coming from growth is going to become tougher to argue. Unfortunately, more of what you are doing is addressing deficiencies on the back of the existing local taxpayer, not the impact fee system.

Mr. Wagner: When you said you decided to act on some of the capital projects, it is actually going to bring the impact fees down because now your deficiency keeps growing each year.

Mr. Hoch: Yes, there is an unfortunate relationship there and, of course, how do you figure out at what point does it become impact fee growth eligible, and at what point is it a deficiency because you are now at this point for some of the things right now are deficiencies.

Mr. Byron: The schools are at a deficiency point. Town school age population is going down; there is no driver there for the schools any more.

Mr. Hoch: And I think the healthiest way for you to think about this going forward you still are not looking at a lot of money the next couple of years. Impact fees are not going to make a dent in most of your capital needs but the reason you are planning for it now and keeping the right system in place is this will turn around and there is a ton of development hurling towards us, north and east, quickly, which means it will be here and you want to be in position with the system. So, when the next wave comes, you are catching it. That is why you are continuing to do this today...impact fees are not going to get you a fire station.

Mr. Barry: Well, I agree but it is nice to have a little cushion there rather than having the Town pay for everything.

Mr. Hoch: If the six-year window does not work because the project is not there and the purse is not there, there is no shame in giving it back. I think it is important to keep it going.

Mr. Wagner said that the next step would be to get the new fee schedule adopted, hold a public hearing and then have the Selectmen approve. Also, he suggested talking with Bruce Mayberry as to getting the police and fire schedule in place and amend the fees accordingly with the escalation factors. Talk ensued.

Mr. Byron: I think, in summary, what you have to do is you have to take the Mayberry work that was done, roll that in as an appendix into the update that goes to the Board of

September 7, 2010

Selectmen and make that adopted and that should replace the work that was done by Gilmore back in 2000. Then you need to look at the other impact fees that are being

collected such as recreation, municipal, etc. and adjusts those using the multiplier that Steve has already come up with for this year. Then you should include in there is some type of calculation that shows how those fees, that you are going to collect, will be apportioned to all of the categories which I think maybe Mayberry has in his report but also from municipal, police, what have you, so, that then, becomes the go to document. I would then suggest having it reviewed by Buckley and then schedule your public hearing.

All in all, Mr. Wagner will review the Mayberry report to see what is missing.

Mr. Byron: All you have to do is append that somehow...and amend the existing report that you have already drafted to refer to the Mayberry report. I would recommend you gather the data in the Mayberry report, as well as the data you already generated, into one table so that you do not have to go to multiple places to find it...because the building department is going to need some way of understanding where to get the data and if you exert that data out through and put it into the body of your table just reference where that information came from...you probably do not need to do anything at all with that.

Mr. Lynch asked since the school is not going to build an elementary school, should the school impact fees be adjusted because the needs are changing. This was discussed. It was said that it would require a new study. Mr. Byron: The way we did it in 2000...this many officers, this many calls and there are this many homes in Town and you had so many calls per home and then you extrapolate that. So, if you grow by another 5,000 or another 2,000 homes that means there will be another x number of calls and there will be an x number of police officers associated with it, or cruisers, and that was quite honest the way, at that time, that the report was done. In order to change the basis, you have to do that type of thing all over again.

Mr. Hoch: Unfortunately, you cannot easily move money from one bucket to the other.

Mr. Byron: Once you collect it, it is stuck forever and ever.

Mr. Hoch: You may not need it. I am back to my point a couple of years out you may need it and if you go to nothing right now and say you do not need it, then, unfortunately, you realize too late usually when you are in the midst of the next growth pattern to try to scramble to bring it back in. It may well mean that you may have to do refunds for a couple of years. There is no shame in doing refunds. You asked for the money rationally...Town Meeting did not vote for it but you did your part to give the community a tool to be responsible at the time.

Mr. Lynch. The key part is the CIP as much as it is a burden the thing is to draft it up and get it changed each year but the key is not to lock it in. You can make it diversified so

September 7, 2010

that it works like remodeling, renovations, anything can be listed as an impact and that money can be associated with it.

Mr. Byron asked if Mr. Mayberry fulfilled his obligations to the Town under the contract. Mr. Almeida replied that as far as the Board knows, he did. The RFP will be reviewed.

On another matter, Mr. Lynch will provide copies of his recommended zoning changes for review by the Board. With this, Messrs. Hoch and Lynch left the meeting.

ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Gilcreast Farms Subdivision - The Board is in receipt of a letter from the Association regarding the shut off of streetlights in the development. On August 2, 2010, they had met with Kevin Lynch to discuss the situation. The letter states that it is very difficult to walk around the development after 11:00 p.m. when the lights shut off and also when there are functions at the clubhouse that end after 11:00 p.m. Note 1 on the recorded site plan states timers to allow deactivation no later than 11:00 p.m. and this was an agreement between the original owner and the Planning Board. The Association is requesting the plan be amended to have the Association have complete control of the lighting shut-off. The letter also states that there are cars driving through the streets after the lights are out that do not belong in the development.

The Board was not certain how to proceed with the request from the Association. It was noted that there is no such requirement imposed on the other older person developments. Gilcreast Farms was the first one to come before the Board. It is not certain as to whether or not all of the residents in the development actually voted on the request. Talk ensued. Mr. Barry felt that there is a safety issue involved with cars not belonging in the development driving through the subdivision. Mr. Byron recommended that the Association fill out an application and notify abutters. If the request is granted, record the letter and this way they would not have to go through the expense of changing the recorded plan. Mrs. McKibben will respond back to the Association.

Annandale - The Board is in receipt of a letter from the Board's engineer Lou Caron recommending a "planting plan" be submitted to the Planning Board from the owner Manny Sousa.

Minutes - Mr. Barry MOTIONED to accept the minutes of August 3, 2010 as amended.
Mr. Almeida seconded. Motion carried 4-0-1.

Mr. Byron **MOTIONED** to accept the minutes of August 17, 2010 as amended. Mr. Fuertes seconded. Motion carried 4-0-1.

New member - Mr. Byron welcomed Mr. Barry Bean to the Planning Board.

Litchfield Planning Board September 7, 2010 2011 Budget - Chairman Almeida has been working on the 2011 budget for submittal to the Selectmen. It was suggested adding money in the 2011 budget for Mr. Mayberry's services under line item Special Projects. The NRPC fees for circuit rider are going up from \$60 to \$65 an hour effective January 2, 2011. The 2011 budget needs to be adjusted to reflect the planner's increase. There being no further business, Mr. Byron **MOTIONED** to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Bean seconded. Motion carried 5-0-0. The meeting adjourned at 9:28 p.m. Edward Almeida, Chairman Leon Barry Frank Byron, Selectman Carlos Fuertes Barry Bean Lorraine Dogopoulos Recording Secretary